

# **AGENDA**

**Meeting Location:** 

Sloat Room—Atrium Building 99 W. 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue Eugene, OR 97401

Phone: 541-682-5481 www.eugene-or.gov/pc

The Eugene Planning Commission welcomes your interest in these agenda items. Feel free to come and go as you please at any of the meetings. This meeting location is wheelchair-accessible. For the hearing impaired, FM assistive-listening devices are available or an interpreter can be provided with 48 hour notice prior to the meeting. Spanish-language interpretation will also be provided with 48 hour notice. To arrange for these services, contact the Planning Division at 541-682-5675.

# MONDAY, MAY 5, 2014 - REGULAR MEETING (11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.)

# 11:30 a.m. I. PUBLIC COMMENT

The Planning Commission reserves 10 minutes at the beginning of this meeting for public comment. The public may comment on any matter, <u>except</u> for items scheduled for public hearing or public hearing items for which the record has already closed. Generally, the time limit for public comment is three minutes; however, the Planning Commission reserves the option to reduce the time allowed each speaker based on the number of people requesting to speak.

# 11:40 a.m. II. <u>APPEAL OF HEARINGS OFFICIAL DECISION: THE RETREAT (PDT 13-3/SDR 13-1) - ACTION</u>

Staff: Alissa Hansen, 541-682-5508

# 11:45 a.m. III. <u>ENVISION EUGENE: SOUTH WILLAMETTE UPDATE</u>

Staff: Robin Hostick, 541-682-5507

# 1:00 p.m. IV. <u>SOUTH WILLAMETTE STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT: PLANNING COMMISSION</u> CORRESPONDENCE

Staff: Carolyn Burke, 541-682-8816

#### 1:15 p.m. V. ITEMS FROM COMMISSION AND STAFF

- A. Other Items from Staff
- B. Other Items from Commission
- C. Learning: How are we doing?

Commissioners: Steven Baker; John Barofsky; Jonathan Belcher; Rick Duncan; John Jaworski (Vice-

Chair); Jeffery Mills; William Randall (Chair)

# AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY May 5, 2014

**To:** Eugene Planning Commission

**From**: City of Eugene Planning Division

Subject: South Willamette Concept Plan Implementation: Draft Design Code

#### **ISSUE STATEMENT**

This work session is an opportunity to share information with the Planning Commission on progress toward implementing the South Willamette Concept Plan as a component of Envision Eugene. Specifically, staff will review key concepts of the proposed South Willamette Special Area Zone currently under development.

#### **BACKGROUND**

A primary goal set forth in the City Manager's March 2012 Envision Eugene recommendation is to accommodate all of Eugene's 20-year multi-family housing and commercial job need inside the existing Urban Growth Boundary. Area planning has been identified as a means of promoting compact urban development along key transit corridors and in core commercial centers in a way that enhances the livability and unique identity of each area.

The <u>South Willamette Concept Plan</u> (SWCP) is a pilot project for the area planning strategy; a final draft was completed in March 2013. The Planning Commission directed staff to move forward with implementation, including several recommendations, on April 22, 2013.

Community goals for the South Willamette area focus on creating a well-connected, healthy, and walkable district as well as active, successful streets and public realm. The SWCP and related public participation aimed for a high level of specificity and detail in crafting this vision, for example by addressing building height, setbacks, and building character. The intent was to enable a smooth and transparent connection between the vision and implementation.

Creating a new regulatory framework for the district was identified as an important next step for implementation. This new regulatory framework is now taking shape through the draft South Willamette Design Code. The draft code seeks to enable several important concepts set forth in the SWCP vision using an incremental and thoughtful approach. In addition, the draft code captures a variety of suggestions made by members of the Planning Commission in the context of implementing Envision Eugene. At this work session, staff will introduce code concepts explained further in **Attachment A**, for example:

- Single Family Options subdistrict
- Transition requirements

- Limited, built-in flexibility and incentives for open space, parking and building height
- Design standards with a menu of options
- Design guidelines for an optional design review process

The draft South Willamette Design Code, as part of the area planning pilot project, also seeks to break new ground in creating code that may be relevant elsewhere in Eugene. As other area planning efforts get underway (following adoption of Envision Eugene), the tools and strategies developed for the South Willamette area may be applied, adapted and improved. Some code elements and procedures may also lend themselves to more general application in certain mixed use or residential districts.

The following items would be useful for the Planning Commission to address:

- Do the proposed code concepts contribute to implementing the SWCP vision?
- What should staff consider as we incorporate these concepts into a new code for the SW area?

#### **NEXT STEPS**

Staff and preliminary legal review of a rough draft South Willamette Design Code are underway. Following revisions based on this input and comments from the Planning Commission, a draft code will be introduced for public discussion later this spring. In addition, staff will be working with community partners at the American Institute of Architects to test drive the draft code and provide practical feedback. This information, in addition to the complete code, will be discussed by the Planning Commission later this summer. This work will continue to be coordinated with the South Willamette Street Improvement Project and other ongoing implementation work such as MUPTE and Opportunity Siting.

# **ATTACHMENTS**

A. SW Design Code Key Concepts

# FOR MORE INFORMATION

Robin Hostick at 541-682-5507 or <a href="mailto:robin.a.hostick@ci.eugene.or.us">robin.a.hostick@ci.eugene.or.us</a>

# SOUTH WILLAMETTE SPECIAL AREA ZONE: CODE CONCEPTS

Several important code concepts related to the development of the South Willamette Special Area Zone (S/SW) are described below. Each concept is numbered in a black bar, followed by two sections of text explaining the *Issue and Intent* and *Proposed Code Revisions* (at the concept level).

# 1. Replace existing zoning in the South Willamette district with a special area zone

#### **Issue and Intent**

Following a model used in the Walnut Station and Downtown Riverfront districts, a special area zone allows for the use of form-based regulations that better support the desired scale and form of future development in the district while providing greater flexibility in development options. A special area zone enables the most direct and legible translation of the vision set forth in the South Willamette Concept Plan (SWCP) into a regulatory framework. New code features can also be pioneered within a special area zone without affecting other areas of town governed by traditional zones.

Other jurisdictions within Oregon, notably Portland, have used a similar format to distinguish areas of the community where mixed use redevelopment is envisioned within a healthy, walkable neighborhood. This relates to the Eugene community's broader goals for a highly livable and balanced approach to accommodating growth in core areas over the next 20 years.

#### **Proposed Code Revisions**

The proposed South Willamette Special Area Zone (S-SW) replaces existing zones within the boundaries proposed by the South Willamette Concept Plan.

2. Include key elements and code structure used in the Downtown Riverfront Special Area Zone (S-DR) as a basis for the new S-SW code.

# **Issue and Intent**

Given the current structure of the Eugene Chapter 9 Code, including a complex set of cross references developed over many years and aimed at reducing duplication, a completely new format such as that used by the City of Salem would not be feasible to implement without a larger project to restructure Eugene's code. The S-DR format was carefully integrated into the existing code while providing important elements of form-based guidance, clarity, and flexibility for development. The proposed S-SW code should also be recognizable to applicants who are already familiar with provisions of the current code. This structure enables the proposed S-SW code to introduce and test new code mechanisms (described below) while still retaining consistency and connection with other Chapter 9 provisions.

# **Proposed Code Revisions**

The overall structure of the proposed zone is based on code developed for the Downtown Riverfront Special Area Zone (S-DR). A permitted use list, general standards, and street standards applying to the entire district are followed by a series of subdistricts with additional standards. Maps and diagrams are used frequently to add clarity.

The proposed S-SW code introduces several *subdistricts* to differentiate desired building types and characteristics. Subdistricts include Mixed Use (MU), Apartments/Condos (AC), and Single Family Options (SFO). Three special subdistrict overlays are also included: the MU Active Retail (MU-AR) overlay subdistrict requires retail uses facing certain street frontages on the ground floor; the AC Row House Character (AC-RH) overlay subdistrict requires multiple entries to multi-family housing facing the street, and; the SFO Row House overlay subdistrict (SFO-RH) allows row houses as an outright use in addition to other SFO building types.

# 3. Allow for under-represented single family attached and detached development types.

#### **Issue and Intent**

Research and public engagement gathered to inform the Envision Eugene recommendations revealed changing trends in demographics and housing preferences. In particular, singles, couples, and retirees are seeking smaller housing options such as row houses, condominiums, cluster cottages and others. These housing types are not widely available in Eugene in part due to onerous, costly and/or uncertain regulations. In addition, appropriate sites for smaller housing types can be difficult to locate due to compatibility issues and general opposition. For example, the existing R 1.5 zone has been largely unused and unsuccessful in creating opportunities for new row houses city wide. The Envision Eugene recommendations call for modifying regulations to create more opportunities for these housing types in appropriate locations, and that these locations should be identified in collaboration with the community through area planning. The SWCP, as a pilot of area planning, identified several appropriate locations in the South Willamette District.

# **Proposed Code Revisions**

The proposed code introduces the *Single Family Options (SFO) Subdistrict* to enable diverse single family housing types within the district in appropriate locations as an "as of right" use, i.e. with a building permit. This represents much reduced process, cost and uncertainty versus the current code. At the same time, the proposed code provides for much higher design standards and clarity. Special code sections are proposed for each allowable building type, including row houses, courtyard homes, cottage clusters, and single-family detached homes, along with a set of standards specific to that type. The proposed type-specific standards address the needs and important design considerations of each type in a clear and objective way. The current R 1.5 zone, and all other existing zones, will be removed from the S-SW area. Row houses will only be permitted where identified within the SFO Row House overlay subdistrict, generally as a transition from higher-intensity uses to low-density residential uses.

4. Provide greater clarity and regulation in support of important design principles while allowing reasonable for flexibility for individual developments.

#### **Issue and Intent**

Envision Eugene and the SWCP call for greater residential and commercial density while enhancing the South Willamette area as a healthy, walkable, and economically vital district. In order to achieve these outcomes, new development in the district will need to be as attractive and functional as possible, and match well with the community's best outcomes. While Oregon law does not provide a way to regulate good design into being, new clear and objective standards can be developed that establish a baseline to improve upon poor designs without impeding or overly prescribing good designs. This approach raises expectations for new development and provides greater confidence to the community. At the same time, these expectations should be coupled with a degree of built-in flexibility to allow designers to pursue different styles and adapt to the needs of different sites and development goals.

# **Proposed Code Revisions**

New design standards are proposed for buildings within the district. In addition to general design standards that apply throughout the district, a special set of standards are proposed for buildings within each subdistrict, or for each building type within the SFO Subdistrict. Many proposed standards include a menu of clear and objective options to provide flexibility while also establishing a minimum threshold for acceptable design. For example, a developer may choose two features from a list of four options to define main entrances to mixed use buildings.

5. Include provisions that establish reasonable transitions between higher-density development types and adjacent, low-density single family residential areas

# **Issue and Intent**

The term *transition* is used in two ways, first to describe the relationship between one development and an adjacent, existing development, and second to describe the overall gradation of development intensity across an area of several blocks. Along with the community's goal of accommodating commercial and multifamily needs in existing core commercial areas and along key transit corridors, there is expected to be an increase in higher-intensity redevelopment in these areas, for example larger buildings, higher residential densities, and the associated increase in activity. If this strategy is to succeed, these new developments need to relate well to surrounding areas. The intent of transitions is to establish clear expectations, and allow some flexibility, for how this is addressed.

# **Proposed Code Revisions**

Allow for areas of transitional development types between uses of varying intensity. The S-SW code introduces several areas of transitional development between larger mixed use and multifamily subdistricts and single family subdistricts and zones. These types include row houses and multifamily housing with special requirements for pedestrian scale at the street. This provides both a physical transition related to the scale of adjacent development as well as moderating the intensity of associated activity and use.

Require larger developments located adjacent to areas designated for low-density residential uses to mitigate impacts along shared property lines through special design solutions. Proposed code revisions will require larger setbacks, height limitations, and a menu of other options such as screening, strategic location of parking and open space as a buffer, the location of balconies, and building articulation.

# 6. Establish adequate standards for the streetside realm.

#### **Issue and Intent**

A safe, attractive and memorable pedestrian experience is fundamental to promoting the livability and business vitality envisioned for the district. Current street standards do not require the amenities or configuration of space needed to achieve this goal. Standards are intended to enable a gradual transformation of the street-side realm over time and to promote a consistent, recognizable character throughout the district.

# **Proposed Code Revisions**

Proposed revisions establish street typologies and design standards within the district. Proposed street design standards set forth dimensions, key elements, and material types within the streetside realm.

# 7. Enable flexibility and code-based incentives.

#### **Issue and Intent**

The Envision Eugene recommendations emphasize the need for more code flexibility in promoting redevelopment in core commercial areas and along key transit corridors, as well as incentives to secure additional public benefits through the development process. This recommendation reflects the well-documented financial challenges facing most types of redevelopment in Eugene. Action is needed to reduce barriers to redevelopment. At the same time, areas identified for redevelopment need to be highly livable locations, requiring more amenities, diversity, and higher quality in the public realm. The intent is to address flexibility directly in some cases, and in others to address both needs through certain code-based "give/get" strategies related to important qualities envisioned for district such as open space and parking options.

#### **Proposed Code Revisions**

The proposed code allows a small degree of height flexibility as of right, excluding setback and transition areas, to encourage building diversity and flexibility for project-specific challenges. Proposed building setbacks are flexible within a limited range to encourage a diversity of spaces and forms along building facades. Proposed parking requirements are somewhat reduced from existing code, and also provide a menu of options for addressing parking needs that reflect current best practices and a district-wide framework for parking management.

The proposed code includes height bonuses for providing additional public and semi-public open space, excluding setback and transition areas. Additional height and/or the building area where additional height is

allowed increases as the size of the open space increases. Open spaces are required to publicly accessible, intended and designed for public or semi-public use, and to be located adjacent to a public street or open space.

# 8. Provide a voluntary alternative to higher standards

#### **Issue and Intent**

In addition to the need for flexibility and additional design standards described above, the Envision Eugene recommendations call for an alternative design review process to allow broader design freedom as well as discretion in applying design standards. The intent is to provide an *elective process* that developers can choose *instead* of the clear and objective code standards and building permit review process which would otherwise be required. Current design review references a small set of fairly broad guidelines in the Adjustment Review code section as well as limited policy language, for example that used by the Downtown Riverfront Special Area Zone (S-DR). Existing guidelines are not adequate or tailored to support the vision for the South Willamette District and provide for a consistent review process; a revised set of clear and specific guidelines are needed.

# **Proposed Code Revisions**

OPTION 'A' – UNDER CONSIDERATION: The proposed S-SW code could include enabling language to allow an elective design review process. The current code section governing design review could be revised to establish a *menu of design guidelines* to serve as alternative criteria for the design review approval process. A special code section in the S-SW zone could specify which guidelines would be "activated" to replace which S-SW code standards through the elective process. This is similar to how the S-DR zone works except the S-SW proposal would have a broader menu of clear guidelines to choose from. These guidelines, if located independently as a "menu" within the code, could be selectively referenced by other zones, including special area zones and overlay zones, at any time in the future. Modifications to the guidelines, as needed over time, could be accomplished in one location, however there may be less opportunity to tailor guidelines to specific locations.

OPTION 'B': Establish a set of design guidelines *specific to the S-SW district* and applicable only to that district. The guidelines would be located in a single set within the S-SW code with notation of which guidelines replace which standards. Other zones offering design review as an alternative process would need to establish a separate, and perhaps redundant, set of guidelines. Any future revisions would need to be made to multiple code sections for consistency.

OPTION 'C': Similar to Option 'B' except that guidelines would be located within the code immediately following or preceding each standard that is replaced. This carries the same considerations as Option 'B'. In addition, under this option some design guidelines may need to be stated redundantly within the S-SW code. Any future revisions would need to be made in additional locations.